1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 (SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION) 9 Case No. 07-cv-5944 (JST) 10 IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION MDL No. 1917 11 12 This Document Relates to: [PROPOSED] ORDER OF MISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE AS TO TECHNICOLOR AND TDA 13 Individual Case No. 13-cv-05262 **DEFENDANTS** 14 SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO. and KMART CORP., 15 Plaintiffs, 16 v. 17 TECHNICOLOR SA, et al., 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 The Court, having considered the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing therefore, orders as follows: 22 All claims asserted by Plaintiffs Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. against 23 1. Defendants Technicolor SA (f/k/a Thomson SA), Technicolor USA, Inc., (f/k/a Thomson 24 Consumer Electronics, Inc.) (collectively "Technicolor") and Technologies Displays Americas 25 26 LLC (f/k/a Thomson Displays Americas LLC) ("TDA") are dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 27 28

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4361-1 Filed 02/03/16 Page 2 of 2

	2.	Each party shall	bear its own co	sts and attorneys' fees.
	3.	This Order is not	t a dismissal of	any person or entity other than the Technicolor and
TDA Defendants.				
	IT IS	SO ORDERED.		
This	day	y of	, 2016.	
				HONORABLE JON S. TIGAR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
538021.1				
	ГДД	ODOSEDI ODED OE	DIGMISSAL AS	TO TECHNICOLOR AND TOA DEFENDANTS
	This	3. TDA Defenda IT IS This day	3. This Order is no TDA Defendants. IT IS SO ORDERED. This day of	3. This Order is not a dismissal of TDA Defendants. IT IS SO ORDERED. This day of, 2016.